

Roddy Inc.
Industrial & Commercial Realtors



RECEIVED

2007 OCT 30 AM 9: 23

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY
REVIEW COMMISSION

Glenview Corporate Center
3220 Tillman Drive, Suite 112
Bensalem, Pennsylvania 19020-2028
Ph: 215.245.2600
Fax: 215.245.2670
email: realestate@rododyinc.com

2503

October 26, 2007

Mr. Kim Kaufman, Executive Director
Independent Regulatory Review Commission
14th Floor
333 Market Street
Harrisburg, PA 17101

Re: Proposed Amendments to 61 Pa Code, Chapter 91
(relating to realty transfer tax) ("Draft Regulations")

Dear Mr. Kaufman:

We do a great deal of real estate business in Pennsylvania and are very concerned about the Draft Regulations the Department of Revenue has promulgated revising the realty transfer tax regulations. These regulations in certain instances are contrary to the law and also are bad public policy. They will discourage real estate transactions in Pennsylvania. Among the significant issues raised by the Draft Regulations are:

- realty transfer tax would be imposed on the assignment of a contract to purchase real estate. Taxing this type of transaction is contrary to the law under the Pennsylvania Supreme Court's holding in the Allebach case;
- like kind exchanges may well be subject to four transfer taxes because these regulations provide, contrary to the Federal law, that neither a "qualified intermediary" nor an "exchange accommodation title holder" are agents of the taxpayer. Pennsylvania would be the only state in the country where exchanges would be subject to such multiple realty transfer taxes; and
- in contrast to the existing regulations, in a sale leaseback transaction, if the lease term (including most options to renew) is 30 years or more, both the sale and the lease will be subject to realty transfer tax unless the transaction is a financing, as narrowly defined by the DOR. Thus, many sale leaseback transactions will be subject to two realty transfer taxes on the initial sale and leaseback, and a third realty transfer tax if the property ultimately is conveyed back to the seller.



Mr. Kim Kaufman, Executive Director

October 26, 2007

Page 2

For these reasons, we urge the Independent Regulatory Review Commission to reject these regulations and request the DOR to issue regulations that comply with the law and are good public policy. Thank you for your consideration.

Very truly yours,

Francis T. Roddy

cc: Gregory C. Fajt, Chief of Staff

Roddy Inc.
Industrial & Commercial Realtors



Glenview Corporate Center
3220 Tillman Drive, Suite 112
Bensalem, Pennsylvania 19020-2028
Ph: 215.245.2600
Fax: 215.245.2670
email: realestate@roddyinc.com

RECEIVED

2007 OCT 30 AM 9:23

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY
REVIEW COMMISSION

2503

October 26, 2007

Mr. Kim Kaufman, Executive Director
Independent Regulatory Review Commission
14th Floor
333 Market Street
Harrisburg, PA 17101

Re: Proposed Amendments to 61 Pa Code, Chapter 91
(relating to realty transfer tax) ("Draft Regulations")

Dear Mr. Kaufman:

We do a great deal of real estate business in Pennsylvania and are very concerned about the Draft Regulations the Department of Revenue has promulgated revising the realty transfer tax regulations. These regulations in certain instances are contrary to the law and also are bad public policy. They will discourage real estate transactions in Pennsylvania. Among the significant issues raised by the Draft Regulations are:

- realty transfer tax would be imposed on the assignment of a contract to purchase real estate. Taxing this type of transaction is contrary to the law under the Pennsylvania Supreme Court's holding in the Allebach case;
- like kind exchanges may well be subject to four transfer taxes because these regulations provide, contrary to the Federal law, that neither a "qualified intermediary" nor an "exchange accommodation title holder" are agents of the taxpayer. Pennsylvania would be the only state in the country where exchanges would be subject to such multiple realty transfer taxes; and
- in contrast to the existing regulations, in a sale leaseback transaction, if the lease term (including most options to renew) is 30 years or more, both the sale and the lease will be subject to realty transfer tax unless the transaction is a financing, as narrowly defined by the DOR. Thus, many sale leaseback transactions will be subject to two realty transfer taxes on the initial sale and leaseback, and a third realty transfer tax if the property ultimately is conveyed back to the seller.



Mr. Kim Kaufman, Executive Director

October 26, 2007

Page 2

For these reasons, we urge the Independent Regulatory Review Commission to reject these regulations and request the DOR to issue regulations that comply with the law and are good public policy. Thank you for your consideration.

Very truly yours,

Jane E. Roddy

cc: Gregory C. Fajt, Chief of Staff

Roddy Inc.
Industrial & Commercial Realtors



2503

October 26, 2007

Glenview Corporate Center
3220 Tillman Drive, Suite 112
Bensalem, Pennsylvania 19020-2028
Ph: 215.245.2600
Fax: 215.245.2670
email: realestate@roddyinc.com

RECEIVED

2007 OCT 30 AM 9:22

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY
REVIEW COMMISSION

Mr. Kim Kaufman, Executive Director
Independent Regulatory Review Commission
14th Floor
333 Market Street
Harrisburg, PA 17101

Re: Proposed Amendments to 61 Pa Code, Chapter 91
(relating to realty transfer tax) ("Draft Regulations")

Dear Mr. Kaufman:

We do a great deal of real estate business in Pennsylvania and are very concerned about the Draft Regulations the Department of Revenue has promulgated revising the realty transfer tax regulations. These regulations in certain instances are contrary to the law and also are bad public policy. They will discourage real estate transactions in Pennsylvania. Among the significant issues raised by the Draft Regulations are:

- realty transfer tax would be imposed on the assignment of a contract to purchase real estate. Taxing this type of transaction is contrary to the law under the Pennsylvania Supreme Court's holding in the Allebach case;
- like kind exchanges may well be subject to four transfer taxes because these regulations provide, contrary to the Federal law, that neither a "qualified intermediary" nor an "exchange accommodation title holder" are agents of the taxpayer. Pennsylvania would be the only state in the country where exchanges would be subject to such multiple realty transfer taxes; and
- in contrast to the existing regulations, in a sale leaseback transaction, if the lease term (including most options to renew) is 30 years or more, both the sale and the lease will be subject to realty transfer tax unless the transaction is a financing, as narrowly defined by the DOR. Thus, many sale leaseback transactions will be subject to two realty transfer taxes on the initial sale and leaseback, and a third realty transfer tax if the property ultimately is conveyed back to the seller.



Mr. Kim Kaufman, Executive Director

October 26, 2007

Page 2

For these reasons, we urge the Independent Regulatory Review Commission to reject these regulations and request the DOR to issue regulations that comply with the law and are good public policy. Thank you for your consideration.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Robert D. Olender', written over a horizontal line.

Robert D. Olender

cc: Gregory C. Fajt, Chief of Staff